

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

BRIDGET Y. TAYLOR,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 2:07cv404-MHT
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER ON MOTION

On December 6, 2007 (Doc. No. 14), the petitioner filed a pleading styled as a “Motion to Compel.” The court construes this pleading to be a motion to expedite ruling. Upon consideration of this motion, and for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to expedite ruling is DENIED.

The court is mindful that the petitioner filed her 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion in May 2007. However, the court’s caseload of actions pending pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 makes it extremely difficult to expedite the resolution of the petitioner’s motion, particularly since the court has adopted a policy of disposing of cases on the basis of the length of pendency. The court assures the parties that a determination of the issues presented in this case will be undertaken in due course.

Done this 12th day of December, 2007.

/s/Charles S. Coody
CHARLES S. COODY
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE